

**NOTES OF APPLICATION HEARING ON 5 MARCH 2020 INTO
SEEKING TO HAVE AN ORDER EXTENDED INDEFINITELY RELATED
TO CASE NUMBER: H13018534**

APPLICATION WAS SERVED AT HOME ADDRESS ON 7 JANUARY 2020

1) This APPLICATION for an INDEFINITE EXTENSION to the ORDERS granted upon 14 MARCH 2018 as CASE NUMBER: H13018534, which upon the same day, was then subject to an application for an APPEAL AP-18-0609 on grounds of its non-justiciability due to derangement in its factuality and the #339 - OBSCURANT as false claims made of IDENTITY relative to the FORMA CORPORIS.

2) Whilst the AMENDED ORDERS dated 9 MAY 2018 grant that the RESPONDENT'S name was to be correctly made upon those ORDERS, this is not a prerogative upon which the APPLICANT can now rely and in my prudent view, before this APPLICATION for ORDERS to be EXTENDED INDEFINITELY can be considered by the COURT, there must needs be resolved the #339 - OBSCURANT grounds as the entirely false claims made of IDENTITY relative to the FORMA CORPORIS.

3) The GROUNDS for the original APPLICATION to the INTERVENTION AND PERSONAL SAFETY ORDER coinciding with the BEERSHEBA CENTENNIAL OF 31 OCTOBER 2017, irrationally and incoherently STATED:

**#1 - The AFFECTED PERSON (AP) and the RESPONDENT (RESP) are
ONLY *KNOWN* *TO* *EACH* *OTHER* *THROUGH* *THE*
RSL *WHERE* *THE* *AP* *VOLUNTEERS*.**

**#9 - *THE* *RESP* *KNEW* *THE* *AFFECTED* *PERSON'S*
NAME *AND* *WHERE* *SHE* *WORKED*, *EVEN* *THOUGH*
THE *AFFECTED* *PERSON* *WASN'T* *WEARING*
ANYTHING *TO* *ATTACH* *HER* *TO* *THE* *RSL* *OR*
HER *NAME*.**

**#10 - *THIS* *HAS* *FRIGHTENED* *THE* *AFFECTED*
PERSON, *AS* *SHE* *BELIEVES* *THAT* *THE*
RESPONDENT *IS* *STALKING* *HER*.**

**#13 - *THE* *AFFECTED* *PERSON* *IS* *CONCERNED* *FOR*
HER *SAFETY* *AND* *WELLBEING*.**

4) THE APPLICANT CONFIRMED THE IMMATERIALITY OF SWORN CLAIMS TO THE FACTUALITY WITHIN EVIDENCE PRESENTED AT THE SALE MAGISTRATES COURT ON 14 MARCH 2018.

IN THAT THEY HAD RECOURSE TO MY FACEBOOK PAGE SO AS TO

ESTABLISH MY IDENTITY WHICH WAS OTHERWISE KNOWN BY HEARSAY AND THAT THEREBY SUCH **#312 - CONTRADICTORY CLAIM** FOR THE GROUNDS "***CONCERNED* *FOR* *HER* *SAFETY* *AND* *WELLBEING***" CONVEYED WITHIN AN APPLICATION FOR AN INTERVENTION AND PERSONAL SAFETY ORDER BEING ENTIRELY INSUBSTANTIAL AND CATEGORICALLY NON-FACTUAL AS TO BE ONLY ADVANCED IN SUPPORT OF THEIR FOREIGN POWER IMPETUS:

"AFFECTED PERSON (AP) AND THE RESPONDENT (RESP) ARE *NOT* *KNOWN* TO EACH OTHER"

5) That the RESPONDENTS proper name has been NOW correctly made upon those ORDERS, is entirely due to the RESPONDENTS representations made at the FILE LISTING HEARING for COUNTRY COURT APPEAL NUMBER: **AP-18-0609** AND UPON PRODUCTION OF A BIRTH CERTIFICATE / CHANGE OF NAME REGISTER.

THAT THIS NAME DICHOTOMY AS TO INSUBSTANTIAL FACT WAS ACCEPTED BY THE COUNTY COURT AND CONCERNING WHICH WE HAVE ADVISED THIS COURT BY OUR FILING PARTICULARS DATED OF 3 MARCH 2020, NAMELY:

a) THE AFFECTED PERSON FIRSTLY MAKES A FALSE CLAIM OF MY IDENTITY WHICH HAS NO REFERENTIAL INTEGRITY AS COGENT KNOWLEDGE OF A FORMA CORPORIS;

b) THE AFFECTED PERSON MAKES THE FALSE CLAIM THAT THE RESPONDENT NOT ONLY KNEW HER IDENTITY AS NAME BUT HAD SUCH AN INTRINSIC BODY OF KNOWLEDGE OF WORKPLACE AS TO THEN BE AN ABSOLUTE CLAIM TO BE FRIGHTENED BY ALLEGED INSTANCES OF STALKING;

c) SINCE JUNE 2003 NO HETEROSEXUAL PERSONS AND ESPECIALLY FEMALES WITH WHOM (APART FROM MY SISTERS) I HAVE NO FAMILIAR ASSOCIATION HAVE THEN MADE USE OF MY NON-BIRTH NAME "**DOLF BOEK**" WHICH IS CATEGORICALLY DISCOURAGED OUT OF REGARD FOR MY MOTHER AS A RESPECT FOR WOMEN GENERALLY;

d) THE ONLY TIME THAT A PERSON CALLS ME 'DOLF' IS THEN BY A MUTUAL CONSENT AS OCCURRING BY FACE-TO-FACE IN THE NEGOTIATION OF AFFIRMATION;

e) THE AFFECTED PERSON IS NOT ABLE TO CONVEY WHEN SUCH MUTUAL CONSENT AS NEGOTIATION OF AFFIRMATION OCCURRED;

f) SINCE I AM AWARE NO SUCH ACTION OF MUTUAL CONSENT AS NEGOTIATION OF AFFIRMATION HAS EVER OCCURRED THERE IS NO

COGENT KNOWLEDGE OF ME BY A RATIONAL CLAIM MADE OF ANY FORMA CORPORIS;

6) I'm currently on bail in relation to an alleged breach of those AMENDED ORDERS dated 9 MAY 2018 as being **CASE NUMBER K12507785** whilst they were subject to an APPEAL AP-18-0609 on consideration of a DYSTOPIAN PREMISE reliant entirely upon false claims of identity and a lack of procedural fairness within the judicial process pertaining to my earlier APPLICATION FOR INTERVENTION AND PERSONAL SAFETY ORDERS made upon 31 JULY 2017 as CASE NUMBER: H12143475 and subject to APPEAL AP-18-0794 and the non-justiciability of a reciprocal APPLICATION as CASE NUMBER: H13214018 and APPEAL AP-18-0775 on the grounds of the absence of any prohibited behaviour due to it being an attempt to pervert the course of justice.

7) And His Honour Judge O'NEIL upheld the original MAGISTRATES COURT ORDER dated 14 MARCH 2018 and not the AMENDED ORDERS dated 9 MAY 2018 upon which the subsequent alleged breaches and this APPLICATION for an INDEFINITE EXTENSION to the ORDERS are reliant.

8) In relation to matters within **CASE NUMBER K12507785** arising from alleged breaches of those AMENDED ORDERS dated 9 MAY 2018, I have been subject to VERBAL ORDERS by this COURT upon 11 FEBRUARY 2020 as the requirement to make a FILING of a point form summary and any legal issues with both this COURT and the POLICE PROSECUTIONS OFFICE by 5 MARCH 2020.

9) Having complied to such VERBAL ORDERS of this COURT by providing sufficient evidence in substantiation of the PREDOMINANT legal issue being that such INSUBSTANTIAL CLAIMS WHICH THE APPLICANT makes are entirely a SUBTERFUGE FOR THE THEFT OF MY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY in accordance with a **#473 - CAUSE CÉLÈBRE** as having grounding in a MALEVOLENT INTENTION conveyed by CATEGORY OF UNDERSTANDING #261 which is then assigned to my **INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY** upon 6 January as the reasonable cause as the belief for a **SPECIFIC TARGETING** by a placement of **'TIME FOR PAYBACK'** as mailbox impost and a threat against my person and private property, that has been subject to relentless #321 - BESIEGEMENT and #339 - PERSECUTORY ACTS for some now 3 years duration.

10) We allege that this was then given public impetus as a PERPETUAL ACTION by the SAINT PATRICK'S DAY 17 MARCH 2017 UNVEILING of the **#728 / #1554 - MARION (ie. CLARA and ADOLF HITLER) STATUE**, an unlawful liquor ban made upon 30 MAY 2017 made of inconsequential events (for which there has been no accountability) as deference to such **#473 - CAUSE CÉLÈBRE** being an INFIDELITY shown at the BOER WAR MEMORIAL as pictured on 8 / 10 JUNE 2017 in anticipation of the ANZAC

CENTENNIAL 2018 COMMEMORATIONS.

11) As claim which is further sustained by having explicit reference to a BINOMIAL CLAMPING: #141 + #120 = #261 provided by the **ROMAN GOVERNANCE / TORAH VASSAL BINOMIAL STASIS PROTOTYPE #EIGHT** in purveying a characteristic as the unlawful SETTING OF A TRAP OR DEVICE WHICH IS PROHIBITED CONDUCT UNDER SECTION 26 CRIMES ACT OF VICTORIA (1958) and perverse conduct is without ABATEMENT made in compliance to the **#473 - CAUSE CÉLÈBRE** as an allegiance granted to a FOREIGN POWER and in anticipation of its triumphant culmination being by similarly assigned to 29 February with such a dual reference {#261 / #261} within both those HETEROS / TORAH PROTOTYPES upon PLANE 9 when hosted upon my INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY.

12) Being PREMEDITATED CONDUCT OF MALEFICENCE that are a breach of the substantive grounds to my APPLICATION FOR INTERVENTION AND PERSONAL SAFETY ORDERS made upon 31 JULY 2017 as CASE NUMBER: H12143475 and then subject to APPEAL AP-18-0794 because of their ineffectuality.

13) In anticipation of a scheduled half day duration for a CONTEST HEARING UPON 26 MARCH 2020 into this matter, we are presently awaiting for the POLICE PROSECUTION OFFICE to reciprocate with their FILING OF MATTERS by 19 MARCH 2020 as compliance given to such VERBAL ORDERS made of this COURT upon 11 FEBRUARY 2020.

14) Since there are unrequited accountabilities of #27 - DUTIES which are now placed upon those other parties, I respectfully request the consideration of the COURT, that there is not any further compounding as to a lack of procedural fairness within the judicial process by a conflation of these present MATTERS before the COURT with this now presumptive APPLICATION for an INDEFINITE EXTENSION to the ORDERS granted upon 14 MARCH 2018.

15) But that this APPLICATION dated 2 JANUARY 2020 is STRUCKOUT until after those matters are first determined by the scheduled CONTEST HEARING ON 26 MARCH 2020.