

APPENDIX #369

TRANSCRIPT OF VCAT 500 / 2000 HEARING DATED 7 DECEMBER 2001 CONVEYING MISREPRESENTED TELEPHONE CALLS AS SERIOUS MATTER REPORTED TO POLICE

IMMIGRANT / TRANS-NATIONAL CORPORATION CONTEMPT HAVING NO PRACTICAL KNOWLEDGE OF THE FIRST PRINCIPLES TO QUEEN VICTORIA'S LETTERS PATENT DATED 29 OCTOBER 1900 MANIFESTING AN UNCONSTITUTIONALITY OF AGONISING TREATMENT AS ENSLAVEMENT TO A RELENTLESS BIOMETRIC ACCOUNTABILITY

This document contains details of Mr Peter Riddell's submission as CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL FOR AXA GLOBAL GROUP INSURANCE being a TRANS-NATIONAL CORPORATION made to the VCAT EXTRA-ORDINARY DIRECTIONS HEARING of 7 DECEMBER 2001 in the mischievous and wrongful misrepresentation of my two telephone calls as the basis of a false report to police and perjured evidence. The following is a transcript (which may contain transcription and conceptual errors due to reduced audibility) dated 11 FEBRUARY 2004 obtained from tapes provided by the Victoria Civil & Administrative Tribunal (anti-discrimination list) as proceedings of 0936 hours 7 DECEMBER 2001 before DEPUTY PRESIDENT MS. CATE MCKENZIE presiding over CASE NUMBER: A500 of 2000 in the matter of AUSTRALIAN CASUALTY & LIFE (AXA GLOBAL GROUP INSURANCE) and MARK CAPECCHI. The proceedings were conducted by telephone hook-up.

THE D.PRESIDENT: Telephone?

Thank you. Mr Riddell, you are appearing by

MR RIDDELL: Yes, madam, I appear by way of telephone. I also have SHANNON LINDNER with me who is a solicitor in the actual legal group.

THE D.PRESIDENT: Very well now, this directions hearing has been called on as a result of a letter which has been sent by the respondents to the Tribunal. The best way I can summarise, in effect, what that letter raised were concerns about certain conduct of Mr Boek which, as I understand it, has been the subject of a report to police. The reason why the Tribunal deals with these matters initially at least, unless there is some exceptional circumstance that would warrant otherwise by bringing on a directions hearing, is so that the Tribunal can, if necessary, receive evidence of what the relevant matter is and then determine how best to

deal with it.

In this case, and in fact every case where a directions hearing is held, if there is a request made by a party to appear by telephone the Tribunal is very happy to accommodate that request and that is in fact what has happened today. Now, I might get you, Mr Riddell, to explain what aspects of Mr Boek's conduct have concerned the respondents and, of course, I will give you a chance to reply, Mr Boek, after Mr Riddell has finished. Very well, Mr Riddell.

MR RIDDELL: Thank you, madam. On 30 NOVEMBER in the morning I received a telephone call from Mr Boek, a threatening telephone call, advising that he had left a number of messages for me. I then accessed those messages on my voice mail and was quite threatened by the content of those messages and I had grave concerns for my safety and the safety of my family. I, that morning contacted the Victoria Police and reported the matter and they viewed the matter most seriously. They suggested that I not present myself in the presence of Mr Boek, which is why I am presenting myself by way of telephone today.

THE D.PRESIDENT: Yes, and as I say, there is no difficulty about that. Yes?

MR RIDDELL: Two messages. I have forwarded to the Tribunal a transcript of those

THE D.PRESIDENT: Have you a recording of them?

MR RIDDELL: I do and I would like to play that for the Tribunal.

THE D.PRESIDENT: Certainly. May I just, before you do, get my associate to take an affirmation from you because given the seriousness of the matter it ought to be on evidence.

MR PETER ANTHONY RIDDELL, affirmed [9.36am]

THE D.PRESIDENT: Recording. Thank you. Now, if you would play the tape

MR RIDDELL: If it is not clear please let me know and I will adjust the volume.

THE D.PRESIDENT: Certainly.

TELEPHONE MESSAGE ONE: "Mr Riddell, this is Dolf Boek [calling]. I'm just wondering whether you've been self congratulatory about my previous submissions to the court and have failed to recognise their

transcendent logic built on time]. They are religious model that co-ordinates or synchronises over 6000 years to the 9 September of this year. That means [when this year expires,] my court case will be a matter of an accusation of fascism against yourself at the company from which I will not cease until I have your soul.

You may return this message if you like, [number omitted]. You have until the end of this year and then I would never again turn my hand against the action that I am going to take. Meaning? I will conclude when one of us going to the grave."

TELEPHONE MESSAGE TWO: "Mr Riddell, Dolf Boek. You do realise that if you are not prepared to come clean with your justifications of your past treatment of me that as of the new year we will not be having another contract because I will not be co-operating with you further and we will be more likely to go to court at some stage. At some stage you are going to have to recognise the fact that you are a blasphemer. Your religious context has no continuing validity and since I am able to rationally prove that with a mathematical model..... you are going to understand how forceful and hard metaphysics is."

FOR REASONABLE INTERPRETATION SEE: "APPENDIX #425 - COGITO ARRAY / TEMPORAL / INFUSED IDEA ASSOCIATIONS FOR MISREPRESENTED TELEPHONE CALLS BY INSURER'S CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL AT VCAT 500 / 2000 HEARING DATED 7 DECEMBER 2001"

<<http://www.grapple369.com/Groundwork/Appendix%20425%20-%20Telephone%20Cognito%20Ideas.pdf>>

MR RIDDELL: That concludes the two voice mail messages.

...

MR RIDDELL: - - - submission that Mr Boek has conducted himself in such a way that he shows he has no intention of according to the rules of the court. He has indicated quite clearly that his court case will now be a matter of fascism against myself and AXA and in those circumstances a false claim is frivolous and vexatious and should be struck out forthwith.

THE D.PRESIDENT: Boek. Mr Boek? Very well, all right. Now, I will hear from Mr


MR BOEK: Yes, ma'am, I have - you would have noticed that there were no actual words of threat. They were words that were based on fact in that particular phone call. Here I have a particular mathematical model which is mentioned in that particular phone call. It is based upon a work

by Johann Kepler, a German astronomer born in 1571 to 1630. He came up with three rules of which I have come up with a fourth [**associated to the ephemeral and mundane as a transcendent fecundate connection to the Vernal and the Autumn Equinox in the 6th year of the 22nd Course of Gamul: $7 \times 24 \times 13 \times 49 = 6J$ as 107016 days or 294×364 days or 365.2423×293 years - Vernal Equinox Wednesday 20 March 1996 / 21 March**].

His three rules in terms of astronomical cycles were: (1) that the planet... And then I will get to my bit of relevance to the 6000 years.

THE D.PRESIDENT: Yes, yes, yes.

MR BOEK: (1) That the planets move in elliptical orbits around the sun which is situated at one of the focus of the ellipses; (2) that the radius vectors joining each planet to the sun describes equal areas in equal times; (3) that the ratio of the square of the planet's year to the cube of the planet's mean distance from the sun is the same for each planet.



<<http://www.grapple369.com/images/EarthSeasons.png>>

NOTE: The stressful circumstance of the VCAT hearing caused ourselves to state this equation $c^2 = a^2 + b^2$ wrongly.

Now, what I am suggesting is that the 6000 year cycle (ie. temporality #400 (x 365.2423 [$7 \times 24 \times 13 \times 49 / 293$ years or 6J as 294×364 days] = 146,097 days) % 22 = 17 SEPTEMBER [... **OBELISK / BENBEN STONE / PYRAMIDION ...**] #6000 years % 22 = 13 SEPTEMBER 2001)

fits a mathematical model on logic and reason called Telos equals Arch plus C-squared:

$$\text{Telos [122J3W1D]} = \text{Arch [3W1D]} + c^2 [9(9^2+1)/2]$$

#71 #1 #11

#61 #81 **#21**

#51 #41 #31 = **#369 = [9(9²+1)/2]** as COURSE of NATURE (gk. **trochos genesis**) [**James 3:6**]

That has been my particular field of study as my retirement activity. A religious pursuit which is, how can I say, an area of **#1467 - *CONTEMPLATIVE*** life. The best model that can currently be exhibited with regard to this particular thesis is nothing more than Harry Potter and the Philosopher Stone. I raise that as a particular point because the poetry that is used in...


#1467 = #41 - RESPONSE (#492), #26 - ENDEAVOUR (#477), #47 - PATTERN (#498) as [#2, #30, #5, #700, #70, #400, #200, #10, #50] = blépō (G991): **{UMBRA: #917 % #41 = #15} 1**) to see, discern, of the bodily eye; **1a)** with the bodily eye: to be possessed of sight, have the power of seeing; **1b)** perceive by the use of the eyes: to see, look descry; **1c)** to turn the eyes to anything: to look at, look upon, gaze at; **1d)** to perceive by the senses, to feel; **1e)** to discover by use, to know by experience; **2)** metaph. to see with the mind's eye; **2a)** to have (the power of) understanding; **2b)** to discern mentally, observe, perceive, discover, understand; **2c)** ***TO* *TURN* *THE* *THOUGHTS* *OR* *DIRECT* *THE* *MIND* *TO* *A* *THING*, *TO* *CONSIDER*, *CONTEMPLATE*, *TO* *LOOK* *AT*, *TO* *WEIGH* *CAREFULLY*, *EXAMINE***; **3)** in a geographical sense of places, mountains, buildings, etc. turning towards any quarter, as it were, facing it;

#1467 = #41 - RESPONSE (#492), #26 - ENDEAVOUR (#477), #47 - PATTERN (#498) as [#20, #1, #300, #8, #60, #10, #800, #9, #8, #200, #1, #50] = kataxiō (G2661): **{UMBRA: #1262 % #41 = #32} 1**) to account worthy, ***JUDGE* *WORTHY***;

#1467 = #41 - RESPONSE (#492), #26 - ENDEAVOUR (#477), #47 - PATTERN (#498) as [#200, #400, #3, #20, #30, #5, #9, #800] = synkleiō (G4788): **{UMBRA: #1468 % #41 = #33} 1**) to shut up together, enclose; **1a)** of a shoal of fishes in a net; **2)** ***TO* *SHUT* *UP* *ON* *ALL* *SIDES*, *SHUT* *UP* *COMPLETELY***;

#1467 = #41 - RESPONSE (#492), #26 - ENDEAVOUR (#477), #47 - PATTERN (#498) as [#5, #500, #70, #2, #70, #400, #50,

#300, #70] = phobéō (G5399): {UMBRA: #1377 % #41 = #24} 1)
 to put to flight by terrifying (to scare away); **1a)** to put to flight, to flee;
1b) to fear, be afraid; **1b1)** to be struck with fear, to be seized with
 alarm; **i) *OF* *THOSE* *STARTLED* *BY* *STRANGE* *SIGHTS*
 OR *OCCURRENCES*;** **ii)** of those struck with amazement; **1b2)** to
 fear, be afraid of one; **1b3)** to fear (i.e. hesitate) to do something (for
 fear of harm); **1c)** to reverence, venerate, to treat with deference or
 reverential obedience;



<<http://www.grapple369.com/images/fascist.gif>>

CENTRE OF VALUE #114 = [#41 - RESPONSE (YING), #26 - ENDEAVOUR (WU), #47 - PATTERN (WEN)]

30 4 53 52 29 6 5 54 28 = #87 / #261 {#TWO}	74 81 76 79 77 75 78 73 80 = #231 / #693 {#NINE}	36 10 59 58 35 12 11 60 34 = #105 / #315 {#FOUR}
45 19 68 67 44 21 20 69 43 = #132 / #396 {#SEVEN}	39 13 62 61 38 15 14 63 37 = #114 / #342 {#FIVE}	33 7 56 55 32 9 8 57 31 = #96 / #288 {#THREE}
42 16 65 64 41 18 17 66 40 = #123 / #369 {#SIX}	27 1 50 49 26 3 2 51 25 = #78 / #234 {#ONE}	48 22 71 70 47 24 23 72 46 = #141 / #423 {#EIGHT}

<<http://www.grapple369.com/images/Papal%20War%20Commemorations%20Intellectual%20Property%20Theft%201.png>>

#51 #25 #74
 #73 #50 #27

#26 #75 #49

[TORAH PROTOTYPE (ON BACKS OF JEWS) #NINE DEFAULT QUANTUM:
#231 ... #375 ... #693 / NATURAL PROGRESSION: #150 ...
#277 ... #450 = #YOD (#10) - 10 SEPTEMBER 2001 ... #MEM
(#40) ... #TAU (#400)]

#75 - SOLVING THE RELIGIOUS PROBLEM

#126 - VOX IN EXCELSE ("A VOICE FROM ON HIGH")

#153 - ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS: FIGHT AGAINST FALSEHOOD,
SUPERSTITION AND INTOLERANCE (***SCIENCE* *IS* *NOT*
DOGMATIC / *DISBELIEVING* *MIRACLE* *OF* *THE*
*EUCHARIST***)

#227 - MEMORIAL TO THE GREAT PEOPLE OF THE NATION (WALHALLA
BUILDING)

#277 - SOLDIER HAS A BOUNDLESS AFFECTION (FRENCH WAR GRAVES)

#303 - ***NEW* *YORK* *SKY*-*SCRAPERS***: THEIR VULNERABILITY
TO AIR ATTACK

#376 - CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE [FORMULA OF AUTONOMY: **#0**
(**#897**), **#17** (**#914**), **#41** (**#938**), **#65** (**#962**), **#57** (**#954**)]

#425 - ONTIC PREMISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH QUEEN VICTORIA'S
LETTERS PATENT DATED 29 OCTOBER 1900

#450 - TERRORIST vEVENT RESPONSE: #YOD (**#10**) + #MEM (**#40**) +
#TAU (**#400**) + #ALEPH (**#1**) AS PRAXIS OF RATIONALITY SPECTRUM /
TEMPORAL HEURISTIC FOR CONSCIOUSNESS INSTANTIATION

**FOR EXPANSION SEE: "APPENDIX #303 - REDUCTIO AD HITLERUM
TABLE TALK IDEAS AS EVIDENCE OF PARADIGM EQUIVALENCE
AND SYSTEMIC INCURSION AGAINST SOVEREIGN STATES /
AUTONOMY DYNAMIC"**

<<http://www.grapple369.com/Groundwork/Appendix%20303%20-%20Terrorism%20And%20Reductio%20Ad%20Hitlerum.pdf>>

MR RIDDELL: Madam, could I object?

...

MR RIDDELL: I am not sure that the intention is entirely relevant. It is the manner in which I reasonably interpreted those phone messages. There is a transcript before the court. I was threatened. Mr Boek, by his conduct today and in the past, on any objective view appears to be unstable. I think it is inappropriate that this matter be continued."

FOR COMPARATIVE APPROACH SEE: "APPENDIX #911 - TEMPORAL HEURISTIC / INFUSED IDEAS IN DISTRESSED TELEPHONE CALL @ 0947 HRS ON 11 SEPTEMBER 2001 FROM HIJACKED AIRPLANE PRIOR TO WORLD TRADE CENTRE CRASH"

<<http://www.grapple369.com/Groundwork/Appendix%20911%20-%20Telephone%20On%20Hijacked%20Plane.pdf>>

PETER RIDDELL on JANUARY 2013 published this perspective:

TITLE: "The Illegality Defence: Has your insured been misbehaving?"

Insurers and superannuation fund trustees assessing life and disability claims may have cause to consider an insured's unlawful conduct and whether grounds arise to decline a claim on the basis of the illegality defence. There are a number of aspects to the illegality defence. This paper reviews the impact of public policy on claims for indemnity arising out of unlawful conduct on the part of insureds.

WHO DOES THIS IMPACT?

Insurers and superannuation fund trustees assessing disability insurance claims.

WHAT ACTION SHOULD BE TAKEN?

Unlawful conduct by an insured may allow an insurer to deny liability for an insurance claim on the grounds that it would be against public policy to allow an insured to receive a benefit under the policy.

As the application of public policy often involves making difficult value judgments, each case will depend upon its own particular circumstances. As such, you may first wish to seek advice as to what action to take having regard to the facts of your particular claim.

ILLEGALITY AND PUBLIC POLICY

It is well established that a claim that arises out of a loss caused directly in the commission of a felony or similarly serious criminal offence by an insured can be denied on the ground that to pay the claim would be contrary to public policy. This is based on the principle that no person should benefit from their crime or wrongdoing ("the public policy principle").

VICTORIAN CIVIL AND ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL CIVIL DIVISION ANTI-DISCRIMINATION LIST No. A500 of 2000 / DATE OF REASONS: 12 FEBRUARY 2002

ORDERED: Pursuant to section 75(2) of the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 1998 this Tribunal Orders -

1. That the Applicant must pay the Respondents' costs in relation to this proceeding, but only in respect of the period commencing on and from 2 July 2001. This does not include the costs of the proceeding on 7 December 2001, which the Tribunal has already ordered the Applicant to pay to the Respondents.

2. The costs referred to in paragraph 1 are to be on a party/party basis on Scale A of the County Court Scale. In default of agreement they are to be assessed or settled by the Principal Registrar. They are to be paid as agreed or, in default of agreement, on or before a date fixed by the Principal Registrar.

PARTIAL REASONING:

[1] My decision is that there is to be an award of costs against Mr Boek. It will be from 2 JULY 2001 and it will be on scale A of the County Court Scale. I now explain my reasons for this decision.

[2] On 7 December 2001 Mr Boek's complaint was dismissed under s.75 of the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal Act 1988, which I call the VCAT Act. Section 75(2) of that Act permits the tribunal, if it strikes out or dismisses a proceeding under that section to order the applicant, here Mr Boek, to pay another party an amount to compensate the other party for costs, expenses, loss, inconvenience and embarrassment resulting from the proceeding.

[3] In exercising the broad discretion given to the tribunal by this subsection the tribunal has in its jurisdiction under the Equal Opportunity Act 1995, which I call the Equal Opportunity Act, not apply the automatic rule that costs follow the event. It has looked at the matter on a case-by-case basis and has taken into account factors similar to those listed in s.109(3) of the VCAT Act. One of those factors is that a party to the proceeding has conducted the proceeding in a way that unnecessarily disadvantages another party.

[4] I constituted the Tribunal at the hearing at which this complaint was dismissed. Mr Boek, I note, did not oppose that dismissal. The respondents have now asked for costs. **THEY SUBMIT THAT MR BOEK HAS CONDUCTED THIS PROCEEDING IN A WAY THAT UNNECESSARILY DISADVANTAGES THEM. TO THE EXTENT I HAVE INDICATED BY THE PERIOD TO WHICH THE ORDER APPLIES, I AGREE WITH THEIR SUBMISSION.**

...

[36] In my view rather than making an attempt to try to quantify the

amount of time and resources spent on this case by the solicitor, an attempt which was made in EPA v. Taylor Woodrow, costs should here be awarded on the ordinary scale. I have, after taking into account the respondents' submissions that I should award a higher scale of costs, awarded costs on the basis of Scale A. The matters which I take into account in doing so are these.

[37] First I take into account that the amount of relief sought is uncertain. Second I take into account that the Equal Opportunity Act is legislation with the social objective of eliminating discrimination and providing redress to its victims. It is in the interests of the objectives of that Act that unrepresented people shall not be deterred from accessing this jurisdiction.

[38] Third I take into account that this complainant has appeared unrepresented in what seems to me to be a relatively complex case. I balance these with the other factors that I have earlier mentioned.

[39] That concludes my reasons for decision.

A revision of this document may be obtained from the following URL:

<<http://www.grapple369.com/Groundwork/Appendix%20369%20-%20VCAT%20Extraordinary%20Directions%20Hearing%20Transcript.pdf>>

Revision Date: 11 March 2024